

Symmetry-Based Structured Matrices for Efficient Approximately Equivariant Networks

Ashwin Samudre¹, Mircea Petrache², Brian D. Nord³,
Shubhendu Trivedi⁴

¹SFU ²PUC Chile & CENIA ³FNAL & UChicago ⁴Independent

AISTATS 2025

Introduction

- ▶ **Equivariance in Neural Networks:** Output transforms predictably under input symmetry (e.g., rotation of images).

Introduction

- ▶ **Equivariance in Neural Networks:** Output transforms predictably under input symmetry (e.g., rotation of images).
- ▶ **Challenge:** Strict equivariance can fail with imperfect real-world symmetries.

Introduction

- ▶ **Equivariance in Neural Networks:** Output transforms predictably under input symmetry (e.g., rotation of images).
- ▶ **Challenge:** Strict equivariance can fail with imperfect real-world symmetries.
- ▶ **Solution:** Approximately equivariant networks balance flexibility and symmetry.

Introduction

- ▶ **Equivariance in Neural Networks:** Output transforms predictably under input symmetry (e.g., rotation of images).
- ▶ **Challenge:** Strict equivariance can fail with imperfect real-world symmetries.
- ▶ **Solution:** Approximately equivariant networks balance flexibility and symmetry.
- ▶ **Our Approach:** Use Group Matrices (GMs) to generalize CNNs efficiently.

Structured Matrices and Equivariance

- ▶ **Structured Matrices:** Matrices with patterns (e.g., Toeplitz, Hankel, circulant) that reduce parameters.

Dense Vs. Structured Matrix

a	b	c
d	o	f
g	h	i

Dense Matrix

j	k	l
l	j	k
k	l	j

Toeplitz (structured)

Structured Matrices and Equivariance

- ▶ **Structured Matrices:** Matrices with patterns (e.g., Toeplitz, Hankel, circulant) that reduce parameters.
- ▶ **Displacement Rank (DR):** Measures structure via $\nabla_{A,B}(M) = AM - MB$. DR = rank of $\nabla_{A,B}(M)$.

Structured Matrices and Equivariance

- ▶ **Structured Matrices:** Matrices with patterns (e.g., Toeplitz, Hankel, circulant) that reduce parameters.
- ▶ **Displacement Rank (DR):** Measures structure via $\nabla_{A,B}(M) = AM - MB$. DR = rank of $\nabla_{A,B}(M)$.
- ▶ Low DR preserves structure under operations.

Structured Matrices and Equivariance

- ▶ **Structured Matrices:** Matrices with patterns (e.g., Toeplitz, Hankel, circulant) that reduce parameters.
- ▶ **Displacement Rank (DR):** Measures structure via $\nabla_{A,B}(M) = AM - MB$. DR = rank of $\nabla_{A,B}(M)$.
- ▶ Low DR preserves structure under operations.
- ▶ **Equivariance:** Circulant matrices (used in CNNs) are GMs for cyclic groups, enabling shift equivariance.

Group Matrices

- ▶ **Definition:** Matrices encoding group symmetries, $M_{gh} = M_{g'h'}$ if $gh = g'h'$.

Example: Dihedral group matrix (D_3)

e	r	r^2	f	fr	fr^2
r^2	e	r	fr	fr^2	f
r	r^2	e	fr^2	f	fr
f	fr	fr^2	e	r	r^2
fr	fr^2	f	r^2	e	r
fr^2	f	fr	r	r^2	e

Group Matrix (GM)

Group Matrices

- ▶ **Definition:** Matrices encoding group symmetries, $M_{gh} = M_{g'h'}$ if $gh = g'h'$.
- ▶ **Group Diagonals:** Basis matrices B_g , sparse, with $(B_g)_{h,h'} = \delta(h = gh')$.

Group Matrices

- ▶ **Definition:** Matrices encoding group symmetries, $M_{gh} = M_{g'h'}$ if $gh = g'h'$.
- ▶ **Group Diagonals:** Basis matrices B_g , sparse, with $(B_g)_{h,h'} = \delta(h = gh')$.
- ▶ **Example:** For cyclic group C_3 , B_g shifts entries cyclically.

Example: Group Diagonal for C_3

For $C_3 = \{e, a, a^2\}$ with $a^3 = e$, the group diagonal B_a is:

$$B_a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where rows and columns are labeled by e, a, a^2 .

Group Convolution

- **Standard Convolution:** $\phi \star \psi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \psi(g^{-1}x).$

Group Convolution

- ▶ **Standard Convolution:** $\phi \star \psi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \psi(g^{-1}x)$.
- ▶ **GM Formulation:** $\text{Conv}_{\psi} \vec{\phi} = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) B_g \vec{\psi}$.

Group Convolution

- ▶ **Standard Convolution:** $\phi \star \psi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \psi(g^{-1}x)$.
- ▶ **GM Formulation:** $\text{Conv}_{\psi} \vec{\phi} = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) B_g \vec{\psi}$.
- ▶ **Efficient kernels:** Restrict ϕ to a radius k neighborhood in G 's Cayley graph, similar to $k \times k$ CNN kernels.

Group Convolution

- ▶ **Standard Convolution:** $\phi \star \psi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \psi(g^{-1}x)$.
- ▶ **GM Formulation:** $\text{Conv}_{\psi} \vec{\phi} = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) B_g \vec{\psi}$.
- ▶ **Efficient kernels:** Restrict ϕ to a radius k neighborhood in G 's Cayley graph, similar to $k \times k$ CNN kernels.
- ▶ **Complex groups:** Compute GMs for direct/semi-direct products via Kronecker products, e.g., $B_{(g,h)}^{G \times H} = B_g^G \otimes B_h^H$.

Generalizing CNN Operations

- ▶ **Strides:** Restrict output to a subgroup $H \subseteq G$.

Generalizing CNN Operations

- ▶ **Strides:** Restrict output to a subgroup $H \subseteq G$.
- ▶ **Pooling:** $\text{Pool}_H^\square(\psi)(h) = \square\{\psi(g') : g' \in P_h\}$, over cosets.

Generalizing CNN Operations

- ▶ **Strides:** Restrict output to a subgroup $H \subseteq G$.
- ▶ **Pooling:** $\text{Pool}_H^\square(\psi)(h) = \square\{\psi(g') : g' \in P_h\}$, over cosets.
- ▶ **Closure:** M^T , MM' , $M \otimes N$ remain GMs.

Generalizing CNN Operations

- ▶ **Strides:** Restrict output to a subgroup $H \subseteq G$.
- ▶ **Pooling:** $\text{Pool}_H^\square(\psi)(h) = \square\{\psi(g') : g' \in P_h\}$, over cosets.
- ▶ **Closure:** M^T , MM' , $M \otimes N$ remain GMs.
- ▶ **Extensions:** Applies to homogeneous spaces and infinite groups.

Approximate Equivariance

- ▶ **Goal:** Relax strict equivariance for flexibility.

Approximate Equivariance

- ▶ **Goal:** Relax strict equivariance for flexibility.
- ▶ **Method:** $M = \sum_{g \in G} \text{diag}(F_g) B_g$, with learnable F_g .

Approximate Equivariance

- ▶ **Goal:** Relax strict equivariance for flexibility.
- ▶ **Method:** $M = \sum_{g \in G} \text{diag}(F_g) B_g$, with learnable F_g .
- ▶ **Displacement Operator:** $D(M) = F(M) - PF(M)$, where P is a permutation. $D(M) = 0$ for exact GMs.

Approximate Equivariance

- ▶ **Goal:** Relax strict equivariance for flexibility.
- ▶ **Method:** $M = \sum_{g \in G} \text{diag}(F_g) B_g$, with learnable F_g .
- ▶ **Displacement Operator:** $D(M) = F(M) - PF(M)$, where P is a permutation. $D(M) = 0$ for exact GMs.
- ▶ **Low Displacement Rank:** $\text{rank}(D(M)) \leq r$ reduces parameters while controlling error.

Experimental Setup

- ▶ **Tasks:** Dynamics prediction (Plumes, JetFlow), image classification (MNIST, CIFAR-10).

Experimental Setup

- ▶ **Tasks:** Dynamics prediction (Plumes, JetFlow), image classification (MNIST, CIFAR-10).
- ▶ **Baselines:** CNN, E2-CNN, RPP, LIFT, structured matrix methods.

Experimental Setup

- ▶ **Tasks:** Dynamics prediction (Plumes, JetFlow), image classification (MNIST, CIFAR-10).
- ▶ **Baselines:** CNN, E2-CNN, RPP, LIFT, structured matrix methods.
- ▶ **Metrics:** RMSE, accuracy, parameter count.

Results: JetFlow

Task	GM-CNN RMSE ↓	Best Baseline RMSE ↓	Param Ratio
Translation (F)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.00 (Lift)	1:75
Rotation (F)	0.17 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01 (RSteer)	1:32
Scaling (F)	0.15 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01 (RSteer)	1:255

Note: F = Future.

Results: Plumes

Task	GM-CNN RMSE ↓	Best Baseline RMSE ↓	Param Ratio
Translation (F)	0.72 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01 (RGroup)	1:100
Rotation (F)	0.92 ± 0.01	0.80 ± 0.01 (RSteer)	1:50
Scaling (F)	0.92 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 0.01 (RSteer)	1:350

Note: F = Future.

Results: Image Classification

Dataset	GM-CNN Acc (%) \uparrow (Params) \downarrow	Best Baseline Acc (%) (Params)
M-bg-rot	55.29 (5.9k)	45.81 (14k) [LDR]
M-noise	90.20 (5.9k)	82.55 (25k) [GM($n=3$,E)]
CIFAR-10	58.31 (14k)	45.33 (18k) [LDR]
SmallNORB	85.22 (25k)	83.23 (14k) [LDR]
Rot-MNIST	84.50 (15k)	83.78 (49k) [GM($n=3$)]
Rect	99.89 (5.9k)	99.60 (12k) [GM($n=3$)]

Note: n = neighborhood radius, E = error addition.

Conclusion

- ▶ **Contributions:** GM-CNNs generalize CNN operations using GMs, enabling efficient approximate equivariance.

Conclusion

- ▶ **Contributions:** GM-CNNs generalize CNN operations using GMs, enabling efficient approximate equivariance.
- ▶ **Impact:** Achieves high accuracy with 1-2 orders fewer parameters, ideal for resource-limited settings.

Conclusion

- ▶ **Contributions:** GM-CNNs generalize CNN operations using GMs, enabling efficient approximate equivariance.
- ▶ **Impact:** Achieves high accuracy with 1-2 orders fewer parameters, ideal for resource-limited settings.
- ▶ **Future Work:** Extend to continuous groups, incorporate steerability, and improve scalability.

Thank You

Questions?



Paper



Code